| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California FRANK H. PACOE Supervising Deputy Attorney General HANNAH H. ROSE Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 56276 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5515 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 Attorneys for Complainant |
|----------------------------|---|
| 7 | BEFORE THE |
| 8 | BOARD OF REGISTERED NURSING DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS |
| 9 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 701 in 77 |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 2016-72 TERRY SCOTT DAVIS |
| 12 | 1403 Jay Street Walnut Ridge, Arkansas 72476 ACCUSATION |
| 13 | Registered Nurse License No. 612749 |
| 14 | Respondent. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | Complainant alleges: |
| 17 | <u>PARTIES</u> |
| 18 | 1. Louise R. Bailey, M.Ed., RN, ("Complainant") brings this Accusation solely in her |
| 19 | official capacity as the Interim Executive Officer of the Board of Registered Nursing ("Board"), |
| 20 | Department of Consumer Affairs. |
| 21 | Registered Nurse License |
| 22 | 2. On or about January 30, 2003, the Board issued Registered Nurse License Number |
| 23 | 612749 to Terry Scott Davis ("Respondent"). The registered nurse license expired on August 31, |
| 24 | 2008. |
| 25 | STATUTORY PROVISIONS |
| 26 | 3. Section 2750 of the Business and Professions Code ("Code") provides, in pertinent |
| 27 | part, that the Board may discipline any licensee, including a licensee holding a temporary or an |
| 28 | |

inactive license, for any reason provided in Article 3 (commencing Code with section 2750) of the Nursing Practice Act.

- 4. Code section 2764 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a license shall not deprive the Board of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against the licensee or to render a decision imposing discipline on the license. Under Code section 2811, subdivision (b), the Board may renew an expired license at any time within eight years after the expiration.
 - 5. Code section 2761 states, in pertinent part:

The board may take disciplinary action against a certified or licensed nurse or deny an application for a certificate or license for any of the following:

- (a) Unprofessional conduct, which includes, but is not limited to, the following:
- (f) Conviction of a felony or of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, and duties of a registered nurse, in which event the record of the conviction shall be conclusive evidence thereof.
- 6. Code section 2762 states, in pertinent part:

In addition to other acts constituting unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter [the Nursing Practice Act], it is unprofessional conduct for a person licensed under this chapter to do any of the following:

- (a) Obtain or possess in violation of law, or prescribe, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administer to himself or herself, or furnish or administer to another, any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code or any dangerous drug or dangerous device as defined in Section 4022.
- (c) Be convicted of a criminal offense involving the prescription, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances described in subdivisions (a) and (b) of this section, or the possession of, or falsification of a record pertaining to, the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section, in which event the record of the conviction is conclusive evidence thereof.
- (e) Falsify, or make grossly incorrect, grossly inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in any hospital, patient, or other record pertaining to the substances described in subdivision (a) of this section.

COST RECOVERY

7. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

8. Code section 4060 states, in pertinent part:

"No person shall possess any controlled substances, except that furnished to a person upon the prescription of a physician, dentist, podiatrist, optometrist, veterinarian, or naturopathic doctor...."

9. Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) provides that no person shall obtain or attempt to obtain controlled substances, or procure or attempt to procure the administration of or prescription for controlled substances, (1) by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation, or subterfuge; or (2) by the concealment of a material fact.

10. **DRUGS**

"Dilaudid," a brand of hydromorphone, is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(K), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal or state law it requires a prescription.

"Morphine" is a Schedule II controlled substance as designated by Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b)(1)(M), and a dangerous drug under Code section 4022 in that under federal or state law it requires a prescription.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

Criminal Conviction

11. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to 2761, subdivision (f), in that on September 15, 2008, in the Superior Court, County of Solano, California, in the matter entitled *People vs. Terry Scott Davis* (2008), Case No. FCR254558, Respondent was convicted by the court following his plea of nolo contendere to a violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a) (obtaining controlled substance by fraud), and Penal Code section 487, subdivision (a)/503 (grand theft by embezzlement), both felonies. The circumstances of the crime are that between December 8, 2006, and January 7, 2007, Respondent obtained controlled substances by fraud from Northbay Medical Center, where he was employed at the time.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Criminal Conviction Involving Controlled Substances)

12. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined by Code section 2762, subdivision (c), in that Respondent has been convicted of a crime involving controlled substances, as more particularly set forth in paragraph 11, above, and described in paragraph 13, subparagraphs a and b, below.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Obtained and Possessed Controlled Substances in Violation of Law)

13. Respondent is subject to discipline under Code section 2761, subdivision (a), on the grounds of unprofessional conduct, as defined in Code section 2762, subdivision (a), in that while on duty as a registered nurse at various facilities, Respondent committed the following acts:

Northbay Medical Center

- a. Between December 8, 2006, and January 7, 2007, Respondent obtained the controlled substance Dilaudid by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge by taking the drug from hospital supplies in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a).
- b. Between December 8, 2006, and January 7, 2007, Respondent possessed the controlled substance Dilaudid in violation of Code section 4060.

Alta Bates Summit Medical Center

- c. On or about October 28 and 29, 2004, Respondent obtained the controlled substance Dilaudid by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge by taking the drug from hospital supplies in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a).
- d. On or about October 28 and 29, 2004, Respondent possessed the controlled substance Dilaudid in violation of Code section 4060.

Doctors Medical Center/San Pablo

e. Between May 25, 2004, and October 15, 2004, Respondent obtained the controlled substances Dilaudid and Morphine by fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge by taking the drugs from hospital supplies in violation of Health and Safety Code section 11173, subdivision (a).

f. Between May 25, 2004, and October 15, 2004, Respondent possessed the controlled substances Dilaudid and Morphine in violation of Code section 4060.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Falsify Patient and/or Hospital Records)

14. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 2762, subdivision (e), for unprofessional conduct, in that while on duty as a registered nurse at various facilities in California, Respondent falsified or made grossly incorrect, inconsistent, or unintelligible entries in the following hospital and patient records:

Northbay Medical Center

Patient F

- a. On January 3, 2007, at 2329 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- b. On January 4, 2007, at 0235 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- c. On January 4, 2007, at 2321 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- d. On January 5, 2007, at 0428 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the

Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

- e. On January 5, 2007, at 0904 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- f. On January 5, 2007, at 1542 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient E

- a. On December 26, 2006, at 0516 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- b. On December 26, 2006, at 2317 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- c. On December 26, 2006, at 2341 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

- d. On December 27, 2006, at 0514 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- e. On December 28, 2006, at 1510 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient C

- f. On January 6, 2007, at 0217 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- g. On January 6, 2007, at 0551 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- h. On January 7, 2007, at 0731 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

27 | /

28 | 7

///

Patient B

- i. On January 4, 2007, at 0510 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- j. On January 4, 2007, at 0624 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- k. On January 4, 2007, at 0704 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- 1. On January 5, 2007, at 0642 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- m. On January 5, 2007, at 1233 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, there was no physician's order for Hydromorphone for this patient. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient A

- n. On December 28, 2006, at 0156 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- o. On December 28, 2006, at 1214 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient D

- n. On December 8, 2006, at 1551 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient, charted the administration of 0.5 mg of Hydromorphone in the patient's Medication Administration Record however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of the remaining 1.5 mg of Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- o. On December 9, 2006, at 0430 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- p. On December 9, 2006, at 0901 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- q. On December 21, 2006, at 2317 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient, charted the administration of 0.25 mg of Hydrocodone in the patient's Medication Administration Record; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the remaining Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

- r. On December 28, 2006, at 0557 hours, Respondent withdrew one 1 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- s. On December 28, 2006, at 1044 hours, Respondent withdrew one 1 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- t. On January 3, 2007, at 0600 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- u. On January 5, 2007, at 0004 hours, Respondent withdrew one 1 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- v. On January 5, 2007, at 0232 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- w. On January 5, 2007, at 0543 hours, Respondent withdrew one 1 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- x. On January 5, 2007, at 0544 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

v. On October 28, 2004, at 1810 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of

Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, this patient did not have a physician's order for Hydromorphone. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

z. On October 28, 2004, at 2043 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, this patient did not have a physician's order for Hydromorphone. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient D

Patient B/C

aa. On October 29, 2004, at 0000 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, this patient did not have a physician's order for Hydromorphone. Further, the patient was discharged two hours prior to this medication withdrawal. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient E

bb. On October 29, 2004, at 0225 hours, Respondent withdrew two 2 mg vials of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, this patient did not have a physician's order for Hydromorphone. Further, the patient was discharged six hours prior to this medication withdrawal. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient F

cc. On October 28, 2004, at 2045 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, this patient did not have a physician's order for Hydromorphone. Further, the patient was discharged eight hours prior to

this medication withdrawal. Respondent wasted 1.5 mg of the drug with a witness; however, Respondent failed to account for the disposition of the remaining 0.5 mg of Hydromorphone.

Doctors Medical Center-San Pablo

Patient Account/Medical No. 3084469

- dd. On October 17, 2004, at 7:09 p.m., Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- ee. On October 18, 2004, at 1:11 a.m., Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- ff. On October 20, 2004, at 7:49 p.m., Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- gg. On October 20, 2004, at 11:15 p.m., Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.
- hh. On October 21, 2004, at 3:53 a.m., Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient Account/Medical No. 2037742

ii. On May 25, 2004, at 0010 hours, 0301 hours, and 0502 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg vial of Hydromorphone each time, from the Pyxis System for this patient; however,

Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient Account/Medical Nos. 3202097/1071361

jj. On October 15, 2004, at 1333 hours, Respondent withdrew 4 mg of Hydromorphone from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, the patient died two days earlier, on October 13, 2004. Respondent failed to chart the wastage of any portion of the Hydromorphone in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

Patient Account/Medical No. 3089819

kk. On September 30, 2004, at 2038 hours, Respondent withdrew one 2 mg syringe of Morphine from the Pyxis System for this patient; however, the patient already had an order for a Morphine PCA (patient controlled analgesic). Respondent failed to chart the administration or wastage of any portion of the Morphine in any patient/hospital record or otherwise account for the disposition of the drug.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Registered Nursing issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Registered Nurse License Number 612749, issued to Terry Scott Davis Terry Scott Davis.
- Ordering Terry Scott Davis to pay the Board of Registered Nursing the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and,
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 8/11/09

LOUISE R. BAILEY, M.Ed.,
Interim Executive Officer

Board of Registered Nursing

Department of Consumer Affi

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SF2009403177-30817309.doc